

# Figure S1 SIRT1 colocalizes and interacts with its potential E3 ubiquitin ligase TRIM28. Related to Figure 3.

(A) Network view of proteins interacted with TRIM28 generated by the inBio Discover software.

(B) Bar chart showing the potential substrates of E3 ubiquitin ligase TRIM28 predicted by the UbiBrower software.

(C) HeLa cells were treated with DOX (5 $\mu$ M) for 8 h, before fixation with 4% PFA and subjected to immunofluorescence analysis with anti-SIRT1 and anti-TRIM28 antibodies, respectively. Scale bar: 10  $\mu$ m. (D) HeLa cells were co-transfected with EGFP-SIRT1 and different HA-tagged TRIM28 truncating mutations for 24 h. Cell lysates were subjected to GFP IP and blotted with indicated antibodies.



0.6

CHX Chase time (h)

γH2AX

GAPDH

### Figure S2 TRIM28 knockout in HeLa cells stabilizes SIRT1. Related to Figure 4.

(A) Three sgRNAs predicted by online tool https://crispr.cos.uni-heidelberg.de/ for knocking out TRIM28 in HeLa cells using CRISPR/Cas9 system.

(B) Selected single clones were collected and subjected to western blot analysis with specific antiSIRT1 and anti-TRIM28 antibodies.

(C) HeLa cells and TRIM28 KO HeLa cells were treated with protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX, 150µg/ml). Cells were collected every two hours and subsequently subjected to western blot analysis to determine the half-life of SIRT1 in this pulse chase experiment.

(D) Quantification of SIRT1 protein degradation rate in (C), as performed by the Fiji software.





D	Caspase	Position	Site	N fragment	C fragment	Frequency score	Similarity maxscore	Average score	Specificity
	1	704-709	DEPD.VP	77.5 kD	4.2 kD	0.714	82.857	59.185	>99.9%
		431-436	DEVD.LL	47.3kD	34.3 kD	0.714	58.065	41.475	>99.9%
		286-291	DFPD.LP	30.6 kD	51.1 kD	0.595	60.000	35.714	>99.9%
	3	431-436	DEVD.LL	47.3 kD	34.3 kD	8.953	79.310	41.475	>99.9%
		704-709	DEPD.VP	77.5 kD	4.2 kD	1.496	82.857	59.185	>99.9%
		564-569	DDLD.VS	61.9 kD	19.8 kD	0.868	83.333	72.322	>99.9%
	6	122-127	DEDD.DD	12.3 kD	69.4 kD	25.558	91.176	2330.31 5	>99.9%
		123-128	EDDD.DE	12.4 kD	69.3 kD	10.564	88.571	935.625	>99.9%
		431-436	DEVD.LL	47.3 kD	34.3 kD	12.810	65.517	839.295	>99.9%
	7	431-436	DEVD.LL	47.3 kD	34.3 kD	10.370	67.742	702.509	>99.9%
		163-168	DEED.RA	16.7 kD	64.9 kD	0.212	66.667	14.109	>99.9%
	8	431-436	DEVD.LL	47.3 kD	34.3 kD	1.111	66.667	74.074	>99.9%
		704-709	DEPD.VP	77.5 kD	4.2 kD	0.667	63.636	42.424	>99.9%
		283-288	LAVD.FP	30.2 kD	51.5 kD	0.427	71.875	30.716	>99.9%

#### Figure S3 Caspases target SIRT1 for cleavage in DDR. Related to Figure 5.

(A) HeLa cells were treated with DMSO or ETOP ( $150\mu$ M) for 16 h with or without z-VAD ( $20\mu$ M), Q-VDOPh ( $20\mu$ M), Boc-D-FMK ( $20\mu$ M), EST ( $20\mu$ M), or ALLN ( $50\mu$ M). Cells were harvested for western blot analysis. (B) HeLa cells were transfected with siCASP1-9 respectively for 48 hours, total RNA was extracted and the mRNA levels of the caspases were quantified by qRT-PCR assay with specific primers, while normalized by house-keeping gene ACTB. (Columns, mean; error bars,  $\pm$  S.D; ns, not significant; \*\*\*P<0.0002 and \*\*\*\*P<0.0001; Two-tailed Student's t-test) (n=3).

(C) HeLa cells were transfected with siCASP1-9 respectively for 48 hours before treated with DOX (5 $\mu$ M) for 16 h. Q-VD-OPh (20 $\mu$ M) treated cells were used as a positive control. Cells were subsequently lysed for western blot analysis.

(D) Table showing SIRT1 cleavage site prediction targeted by caspase 1/3/6/7/8. SitePrediction tool http://www.dmbr.ugent.be/prx/bioit2-public/SitePrediction/ was used.

#### EGFP-SIRT1<sup>D707A</sup> EGFP-SIRT1<sup>ΔC703</sup>





в

# Figure S4 Cleaved SIRT1 has higher ubiquitination level compared to full-length SIRT1. Related to Figure 6.

(A) HeLa cells were transfected with FLAG-SIRT1, FLAG-SIRT1<sup>D707A</sup> or FLAG-SIRT1<sup> $\Delta C703$ </sup> for 24 h. Cells were treated with MG132 (10µM) for 2 h before being lysed with denature IP lysis buffer and subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG beads. (Columns, mean; error bars, ± S.D; \*P <0.0332, n.s, not significant; one-way ANOVA with Tukey`s multiple comparisons) (n=3)

(B) HeLa cells were transfected with EGFP-SIRT1<sup>D707A</sup> or EGFP-SIRT1<sup>ΔC703</sup> for 24 h, then treated with DMSO or DOX (5µM) for 6 h. Cells were harvested and subjected to cell fractionation and western blot analysis. Lamin B1 and GAPDH served as nuclear and cytoplasm markers, respectively.



#### Figure S5 SIRT1 degrades during mitosis in a proteasome-mediated way.

(A) Cells were incubated at 37°C with thymidine for 18 h, washed with PBS and incubated with fresh medium for 9 h before a second round of 18 h thymidine block, then finally released into fresh medium and collected at indicated time points for western-blot analysis.

(B & C) HeLa cells were pretreated with NOC (150ng/ml, 16 h) before addition of CQ ( $20\mu$ M) (B) or MG132 ( $20\mu$ M) (C) for 2 h, then subjected to western blot analysis.

(D) Total RNA was extracted from HeLa cells treated with DMSO or NOC (150ng/ml) for 16 h, and mRNA levels of SIRT1 were quantified by qRT-PCR assay, with normalization by house-keeping gene TBP (TATA-box binding protein). (Columns, mean; error bars,  $\pm$  S.D; ns, not significant; Two-tailed Student's t-test) (n=3).

(E) HEK293T cells were transfected with FLAG-SIRT1 for 24 h. Cells were harvested after MG132 (10µM) treatment for 2 h and lysed with denature IP lysis buffer, finally subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG agarose.

(F) HeLa cells were transfected with EGFP-SIRT1 for 24 h, treated with NOC (150ng/ml) and Boc-D-FMK (20µM) separately or collaboratively as indicated for 16 h. Cells were harvested and subjected to western blot analysis.

(G) HEK293T cells were transfected with FLAG-tagged SIRT1 for 24 h and then treated with DMSO or NOC (150ng/ml) for 16 h. Cell lysates were subjected to FLAG IP and blotted with indicated antibodies.

(H) HeLa cells fixed with 4% PFA for immunofluorescence analysis with anti-SIRT1 and anti-TRIM28 antibodies. Cells undergoing the cell cycle phases of interphase and mitosis (prophase, prometaphase, metaphase, and telophase included) were observed and imaged under the Leica microscope. Scale bar: 20 μm.



# Figure S6 ATM inhibition increases SIRT1 degradation upon DNA damage in a TRIM28-dependent manner. Related to Figure 7.

(A) HeLa cells were treated with resveratrol (RSV, SIRT1 activator, 50µM) and CPT (10µM) separately or collaboratively for 24 h, before cell death analysis using propidium iodide (PI) staining and flow cytometry. Data was analyzed by the FlowJo software.

(B) HeLa cells were treated with Selisistat (SIRT1 inhibitor, 25µM) and CPT (10µM) separately or collaboratively for 24 hours, before cell death analysis using PI staining and flow cytometry. Data was analyzed by the FlowJo software.

(C & D) HeLa cells were treated with either KU-55933 ( $25\mu$ M) alone or together with CPT ( $5\mu$ M) for 24 h. (C) Morphology of the cells was observed and captured under a phase-contrast microscope. Scale bar: 200  $\mu$ m.

(D) Cells were then subjected to cell death analysis using PI staining and flow cytometry. Data was analyzed by the FlowJo software.

(E) WT HeLa cells and TRIM28 KO HeLa cells were treated with either KU-55933 (25μM) alone or together with CPT (5μM) for 6 h, before western blot analysis.

(F) Quantification of SIRT1 levels in (E) performed by the Fiji software. Error bars indicate the mean  $\pm$  S.D. Representative results of four biological independent replicates are shown (n=4).

(G) HeLa cells and TRIM28 KO HeLa cells were treated with KU-55933 ( $25\mu$ M) or ETOP ( $150\mu$ M) separately or collaboratively for 24 h. Morphology of the cells was observed and captured under phase contrast microscopy. Scale bar =  $200 \mu$ m.

(H) HeLa cells and TRIM28 KO HeLa cells were treated with KU-55933 (25µM) or CPT (5µM) separately or collaboratively for 24 h, before cell death analysis using PI staining and flow cytometry.

One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons; Mean  $\pm$  S.D.; ns, not significant; \*P<0.0332, \*\*P<0.0021, \*\*\*P<0.0002, and \*\*\*\*P<0.0001; Representative results of four biological replicates are shown (n=5).

### Supplementary Table 1 Primers for qRT-PCR (Related to Methods)

Name	Sequence (5`-3`)
Human SIRT1	Forward: TAGCCTTGTCAGATAAGGAAGGA
	Reverse: ACAGCTTCACAGTCAACTTTGT
Human ACTIN	Forward: CATGTACGTTGCTATCCAGGC
	Reverse: CTCCTTAATGTCACGCACGAT
Human TBP	Forward: CCACTCACAGACTCTCACAAC
	Reverse: CTGCGGTACAATCCCAGAACT
Human TRIM28	Forward: TTTCATGCGTGATAGTGGCAG
	Reverse: GCCTCTACACAGGTCTCACAC
Human CASP 1	Forward: GGAAACAAAAGTCGGCAGAG
	Reverse: ACGCTGTACCCCAGATTTTG
Human CASP 2	Forward: GGTGATGGTCCTCCCTGTCT
	Reverse: TACTCATCACCAGTGCCAAGC
Human CASP 3	Forward: ATGGGAGCAAGTCAGTGGAC
	Reverse: CGTACCAGAGCGAGATGACA
Human CASP 4	Forward: AAGAGAAGCAACGTATGGCAGGAC
	Reverse: GGACAAAGCTTGAGGGCATCTGTA
Human CASP 5	Forward: GGTGAAAAACATGGGGAACTC
	Reverse: TGAAGAACAGAAAGCAATGAAGT
Human CASP 6	Forward: CCAGACAGACAAGCTGGACA
	Reverse: TGTACCAGGAGCCATTCACA
Human CASP 7	Forward: CCGAGTGCCCACTTATCTGT
	Reverse: ACCTGTCGCTTTGTCGAAGT
Human CASP 8	Forward: TTCTCCCTACAGGGTCATGC
	Reverse: GCAGGCTCAAGTCATCTTCC
Human CASP 9	Forward: TTCCCAGGTTTTGTCTCCTG
	Reverse: GGGACTGCAGGTCTTCAGAG